

## **Exhibit H**

# **PJM System Impact Study and Feasibility Study Report**



**Generation Interconnection  
System Impact Study Report  
for  
Queue Project AE2-290  
NOTTINGHAM 138 KV  
60 MW Capacity / 100 MW Energy**

March 2020

# Table of Contents

s

1	Preface.....	4
2	General.....	5
2.1	Primary Point of Interconnection .....	6
2.2	Cost Summary.....	6
3	Transmission Owner Scope of Work.....	7
4	Attachment Facilities .....	7
5	Direct Connection Cost Estimate.....	7
6	Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate.....	7
7	Incremental Capacity Transfer Rights (ICTRs) .....	8
8	Schedule.....	8
9	Interconnection Customer Requirements.....	8
10	Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements .....	9
10.1	PJM Requirements .....	9
10.2	AEP Requirements.....	9
11	Network Impacts.....	10
<b>12</b>	<b>Generation Deliverability.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>Multiple Facility Contingency .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>15</b>	<b>Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability .....</b>	<b>12</b>
16	Steady-State Voltage Requirements.....	13
17	Stability and Reactive Power Requirements for Low Voltage Ride Through .....	13
18	Light Load Analysis .....	13
19	System Reinforcements.....	14
20	Flow Gate Details .....	15
20.1	Index 1 .....	16
21	Affected Systems .....	18
21.1	LG&E.....	18
21.2	MISO .....	18
21.3	TVA.....	18
21.4	Duke Energy Progress.....	18

22 Contingency Descriptions.....19

23 Short Circuit.....21

24 Figure 1: AE2-290 Point of Interconnection (Nottingham 138 kV Substation).....22

25 Figure 1: AE2-290 Point of Interconnection (Nottingham 138 kV Substation).....23

## 1 Preface

The intent of the System Impact Study is to determine a plan, with approximate cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation interconnection project to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system. All facilities required for interconnection of a generation interconnection project must be designed to meet the technical specifications (on PJM web site) for the appropriate transmission owner.

In some instances, a generator interconnection may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. Cost allocation rules for network upgrades can be found in PJM Manual 14A, Attachment B. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the feasibility study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the impact study is performed.

The System Impact Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

The Interconnection Customer seeking to interconnect a wind or solar generation facility shall maintain meteorological data facilities as well as provide that meteorological data which is required per Schedule H to the Interconnection Service Agreement and Section 8 of Manual 14D.

An Interconnection Customer with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

## 2 General

BQ Energy Development, LLC has proposed to install PJM project # AE2-290, a Solar generating facility located in Harrison County, Ohio (See Figure 2). The installed facilities will have a total capability of 100 MW with 60 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as Capacity. The Point of Interconnection will be to AEP's Nottingham 138 kV substation (See Figure 1).

The proposed in-service date for this project is September 30, 2021. This study does not imply AEP's commitment to this in-service date.

The objective of this System Impact Study is to determine budgetary cost estimates and approximate construction timelines for identified transmission facilities required to connect the proposed generating facilities to the AEP transmission system. These reinforcements include the Attachment Facilities, Local Upgrades, and Network Upgrades required for maintaining the reliability of the AEP transmission system.

<b>Queue Number</b>	<b>AE2-290</b>
<b>Project Name</b>	NOTTINGHAM 138 KV
<b>Interconnection Customer</b>	BQ Energy Development, LLC.
<b>State</b>	Ohio
<b>County</b>	Harrison
<b>Transmission Owner</b>	AEP
<b>MFO</b>	100
<b>MWE</b>	100
<b>MWC</b>	60
<b>Fuel</b>	Solar
<b>Base case Study Year</b>	2022

## 2.1 Primary Point of Interconnection

AE2-290 will interconnect with the AEP transmission system at the Nottingham 138 kV substation.

To accommodate the interconnection at the Nottingham 138 kV substation, the substation will have to be expanded requiring building of a new string and installation of two (2) 138 kV circuit breakers (see Figure 1). Installation of associated protection and control equipment, 138 kV line risers, SCADA, and 138 kV revenue metering will also be required. AEP reserves the right to specify the final acceptable configuration considering design practices, future expansion, and compliance requirements.

## 2.2 Cost Summary

The AE2-290 project will be responsible for the following costs:

Description	Total Cost
Attachment Facilities	\$750,000
Direct Connection Network Upgrade	\$4,000,000
Non Direct Connection Network Upgrades	\$0
Allocation for New System Upgrades	\$0
Contribution for Previously Identified Upgrades	\$5,441,200
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>\$10,191,200</b>

The estimates are preliminary in nature, as they were determined without the benefit of detailed engineering studies. Final estimates will require an on-site review and coordination to determine final construction requirements.

### 3 Transmission Owner Scope of Work

#### 4 Attachment Facilities

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Attachment work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
138 kV Revenue Metering	\$250,000
Generator lead first span exiting the POI station, including the first structure outside the fence	\$500,000
<b>Total Attachment Facility Costs</b>	<b>\$750,000</b>

#### 5 Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
<b>Total Direct Connection Facility Costs</b>	<b>\$0</b>

#### 6 Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Non-Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
Build a new string and Install two (2) additional 138 kV circuit breakers. Installation of associated protection and control equipment, 138 kV line risers and SCADA will also be required.	\$4,000,000
<b>Total Non-Direct Connection Facility Costs</b>	<b>\$4,000,000</b>



## 7 Incremental Capacity Transfer Rights (ICTRs)

None

## 8 Schedule

It is anticipated that the time between receipt of executed Agreements and Commercial Operation may range from 12 to 18 months if no line work is required. If line work is required, construction time would generally be between 24 to 36 months after signing Agreement execution.

## 9 Interconnection Customer Requirements

It is understood that BQ Energy Development is responsible for all costs associated with this interconnection. The costs above are reimbursable to AEP. The cost of BQ Energy Development's generating plant and the costs for the line connecting the generating plant to the Nottingham 138 kV substation are not included in this report; these are assumed to be BQ Energy Development's responsibility.

The Generation Interconnection Agreement does not in or by itself establish a requirement for American Electric Power to provide power for consumption at the developer's facilities. A separate agreement may be reached with the local utility that provides service in the area to ensure that infrastructure is in place to meet this demand and proper metering equipment is installed. It is the responsibility of the developer to contact the local service provider to determine if a local service agreement is required.

Requirement from the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff:

1. An Interconnection Customer entering the New Services Queue on or after October 1, 2012 with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.
2. The Interconnection Customer may be required to install and/or pay for metering as necessary to properly track real time output of the facility as well as installing metering which shall be used for billing purposes. See Section 8 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as Section 4 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

## 10 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements

### 10.1 PJM Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

### 10.2 AEP Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to comply with all AEP Revenue Metering Requirements for Generation Interconnection Customers. The Revenue Metering Requirements may be found within the “Requirements for Connection of New Facilities or Changes to Existing Facilities Connected to the AEP Transmission System” document located at the following link:

<http://www.pjm.com/~media/planning/plan-standards/private-aep/aep-interconnection-requirements.ashx>

## 11 Network Impacts

The Queue Project AE2-290 was evaluated as a 100.0 MW (Capacity 60.0 MW) injection into the Nottingham 138 kV substation in the AEP area. Project AE2-290 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AE2-290 was studied with a commercial probability of 1.00. Potential network impacts were as follows:

# Summer Peak Load Flow

## 12 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

## 13 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

## 14 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	kV	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	kV	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
7369818	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	138.0	AEP	247700	05YAGER	138.0	AEP	1	AEP_P4_#9110_05NOTTINGHAM 138_J	breaker	182.0	143.25	150.32	AC	12.87
7370707	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	138.0	AEP	247700	05YAGER	138.0	AEP	1	AEP_P1-2_#8867	single	182.0	141.81	145.85	AC	7.35
7370708	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	138.0	AEP	247700	05YAGER	138.0	AEP	1	Base Case	single	144.0	120.27	123.69	AC	4.88

## 15 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	kV	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	kV	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
7370706	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	138.0	AEP	247700	05YAGER	138.0	AEP	1	AEP_P1-2_#8867	operation	182.0	142.09	148.81	AC	12.24
7370712	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	138.0	AEP	247700	05YAGER	138.0	AEP	1	Base Case	operation	144.0	109.92	114.72	AC	8.13

## **16 Steady-State Voltage Requirements**

*(Summary of the VAR requirements based upon the results of the steady-state voltage studies)*

To be evaluated during the Facilities Study Phase

## **17 Stability and Reactive Power Requirements for Low Voltage Ride Through**

*(Summary of the VAR requirements based upon the results of the dynamic studies)*

To be evaluated during the Facilities Study Phase

## **18 Light Load Analysis**

*Light Load Studies (applicable to wind, coal, nuclear, and pumped storage projects).*

Not required

## 19 System Reinforcements

ID	Index	Facility	Upgrade Description	Cost	Cost Allocated to AE2-290	Upgrade Number												
7370707,7369818,7370708	1	<b>05NOTTINGHAM 138.0 kV - 05YAGER 138.0 kV Ckt 1</b>	<p>AEP: the AEP substation equipment ratings are 398 MVA SE and are sufficient. No AEP upgrades required.</p> <p>ATSI: ATSI owns the line conductor. The ATSI SN/SE rating is 200 MVA/241 MVA.</p> <p>The Nottingham-Yager #1 138 kV Line (18.5 miles) would need to be reconducted, replacing the existing 477 ACSR conductor with 795 ACSR. The work to recondutor this line is estimated to take 31 months to complete. The cost of this work would be \$34,505,500. This cost includes a federal income tax charge of \$4,056,000. This tax may or may not be charged based on whether or not this project meets the eligibility requirements of IRS Notice 88-129. New expected ratings to be 278/339 MVA SN/SE.</p> <p>The cost allocation is as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Queue</th> <th>MW contribution</th> <th>Percentage of Cost</th> <th>\$ cost (\$30.4495 M)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>AC1-103</td> <td>59.3</td> <td>82.13%</td> <td>25.0083</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AE2-290</td> <td>12.9</td> <td>17.87%</td> <td>5.4412</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Queue	MW contribution	Percentage of Cost	\$ cost (\$30.4495 M)	AC1-103	59.3	82.13%	25.0083	AE2-290	12.9	17.87%	5.4412	\$30.4495 M (non-tax)	\$5.4412M	N5473
Queue	MW contribution	Percentage of Cost	\$ cost (\$30.4495 M)															
AC1-103	59.3	82.13%	25.0083															
AE2-290	12.9	17.87%	5.4412															
			<b>TOTAL COST</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$5.4412M													

## 20 Flow Gate Details

The following indices contain additional information about each flowgate presented in the body of the report. For each appendix, a description of the flowgate and its contingency was included for convenience. However, the intent of the appendix section is to provide more information on which projects/generators have contributions to the flowgate in question. Although this information is not used "as is" for cost allocation purposes, it can be used to gage other generators impact. It should be noted the generator contributions presented in the appendices sections are full contributions, whereas in the body of the report, those contributions take into consideration the commercial probability of each project.



## 20.1 Index 1

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CK T ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADIN G %	POST PROJECT LOADIN G %	AC D C	MW IMPACT
7369818	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	1	AEP_P4_#9110_05NOTTINGHAM 138_J	breaker	182.0	143.25	150.32	AC	12.87

Bus #	Bus	MW Impact
926251	AC1-103	132.0462
936031	AD2-005	4.5045
942741	AE2-290 C O1	7.7220
942742	AE2-290 E O1	5.1480
LGEE	LGEE	0.0850
CIN	CIN	0.2475
CPLE	CPLE	0.0595
IPL	IPL	0.1622
G-007	G-007	0.0640
CBM-W2	CBM-W2	2.3580
CBM-W1	CBM-W1	0.1216
WEC	WEC	0.0499
O-066	O-066	0.4307
CBM-S2	CBM-S2	0.1624
CARR	CARR	0.0514
CBM-S1	CBM-S1	0.3574
MEC	MEC	0.3927
RENSSELAER	RENSSELAER	0.0401

# Affected Systems

## **21 Affected Systems**

### **21.1 LG&E**

None

### **21.2 MISO**

MISO Impacts to be determined during the Facilities Study.

### **21.3 TVA**

None

### **21.4 Duke Energy Progress**

None

## 22 Contingency Descriptions

Contingency Name	Contingency Definition
Base Case	
AEP_P4_#9110_05NOTTINGHAM 138_J	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P4_#9110_05NOTTINGHAM 138_J' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247131 TO BUS 247460 CKT 5 / 247131 05HOLLOW 138 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 5 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247460 TO BUS 247700 CKT 2 / 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 247700 05YAGER 138 2 END
AEP_P1-2_#8867	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#8867' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247460 TO BUS 247700 CKT 2 / 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 247700 05YAGER 138 2 END
AEP_P4_#9113_05NOTTINGHAM 138_M	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P4_#9113_05NOTTINGHAM 138_M' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247131 TO BUS 247460 CKT 2 / 247131 05HOLLOW 138 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 2 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247460 TO BUS 247700 CKT 1 / 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 247700 05YAGER 138 1 END
AEP_P1-2_#8865	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#8865' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247460 TO BUS 247700 CKT 1 / 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 247700 05YAGER 138 1 END


# Short Circuit

## 23 Short Circuit

The following Breakers are over-duty

None

24 Figure 1: AE2-290 Point of Interconnection (Nottingham 138 kV Substation)  
Single-Line Diagram



25 Figure 1: AE2-290 Point of Interconnection (Nottingham 138 kV Substation)







*Via DocuSign*

October 31, 2019

**BQ Energy Development, LLC.**  
400 Market Industrial Park, Suite 32  
Wappinger Falls, New York 12590

Dear Alicia Scott,

**RE: AE2-290 "Nottingham 138 kV" - Feasibility Study Report and System Impact Study Agreement**

Enclosed is a report documenting the results of the AE2-290 "Nottingham 138 kV" Feasibility Study. The results of this study are predicated on a 2022 transmission system based upon PJM's best assumptions at the present time for load growth and connection of proposed new generation additions.

Feasibility Studies are performed to provide an Interconnection Customer with preliminarily estimated reinforcement costs and information concerning attachment facilities and network upgrades. Since the analysis inherently has to include assumptions for future system conditions, the results should be used in this context. The costs and associated timing described in the enclosed report are based upon estimates given to PJM by the affected Transmission Owner(s). The costs are your responsibility as the project developer. More comprehensive estimates will be developed upon execution of a System Impact Study Agreement in accordance with Part VI of the PJM Tariff.

As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing network upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system. In some instances a project may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g., another interconnection project, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the Feasibility Study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the System Impact Study is performed.

The Feasibility Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. In addition, the Feasibility Study estimates do not include any the costs associated with engineering and

constructing the equipment and facilities on the developer's side of the point of interconnection. These costs are the responsibility of the project developer.

The costs associated with the study are being tabulated and you will receive a final statement/invoice electronically from PJM detailing your balance within 120 days.

Please be advised that all modeling will be completed consistent with Manual 3A. Market settlements cannot begin until these steps have been complete.

Note that Tariff 212.5 milestones require that you have all site permits, water and fuel agreements and associated right of way, and a memorandum of understanding for major equipment at the time you return your executed Interconnection Service Agreement (ISA). It is your responsibility to ensure these requirements are met and if they cannot be met at the time of the return of the ISA, you must demonstrate your due diligence and propose dates when those milestones will be met.

Pursuant to Section 204.3 of the PJM Tariff, attached is a System Impact Study Agreement for your consideration. The Agreement must be executed via DocuSign within thirty days (by close of business on **December 2, 2019**) to maintain the project's position in the queue. At the same time, a study deposit in the amount of **\$50,000** must be received by PJM by this date. In addition, your project's electrical data must be provided via Queue Point by the above date for the Impact Study Agreement to be considered complete. Failure to submit this data by the due date will result in the withdrawal of your project.

Please review and execute the Impact Study Agreement as specified in DocuSign. Required with the signed agreement, per Section 3 of the enclosed System Impact Study Agreement is a deposit of \$50,000, 10% of which is non-refundable. Any unused non-refundable deposit monies shall be returned to the Interconnection Customer upon Initial Operation.

Please send the required study deposit to:

Jeannette Mittan  
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.  
2750 Monroe Blvd.  
Audubon, PA 19403

**The following information is provided for wire transfers:**

Bank: PNC Bank, NA, New Jersey  
ABA Number: 031-207-607  
Account Number: 8013589826

Please e-mail PJM at SystemPlanning.Admin@pjm.com with the project name, queue number, date and amount of wire.

In addition to the executed System Impact Study Agreement and deposit, you are responsible to ensure that all queue requests that you may have in the PJM queue are in good financial standing and that you meet the requirements of Tariff 204.3. Failure to meet the requirements of Tariff 204.3 or have your accounts in good standing will result in your project to be withdrawn from the queue. It is your responsibility to meet these requirements.

Costs for the Feasibility Study are being tabulated and you will receive an invoice in the near future. If you wish to discuss the Feasibility Study report or the Impact Study Agreement in more detail, please call me at 610-635-3479 and or email me at Noorgul.Dada@pjm.com.

Sincerely,  
Noorgul Dada



Sr. Engineer  
PJM Interconnection Projects

Attachments

PJM (w/attachments):     Jonathan Riley – AEP  
   Eyerusalem Tiku – AEP  
   Pavani Edumala – AEP  
  
   Komal Patel – PJM  
   Bernard O'Hara – PJM  
   File

**ATTACHMENT N-1  
FORM OF  
SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY AGREEMENT**

**(PJM Queue Position #AE2-290)**

**RECITALS**

1. This System Impact Study Agreement, dated as of **October 31, 2019**, is entered into, by and between **BQ Energy Development, LLC.** ("New Service Customer") and PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. ("Transmission Provider") pursuant to Part VI of the PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. Open Access Transmission Tariff ("PJM Tariff").
2. The Transmission Provider has: (i) pursuant to Section 36.2 of the PJM Tariff, completed an Interconnection Feasibility Study and provided the results of that study to the New Service Customer; (ii) received a valid Upgrade Request; or (iii) pursuant to Section 19 or Section 32, as applicable, of the PJM Tariff, the Transmission Provider has completed a Firm Transmission Feasibility Study and provided the results of that study to the New Service Customer.
3. Pursuant to Sections 19.1, 32.1, 37, 110.2, 111.2, 204.1, 204.2, or 204.3, as applicable, of the PJM Tariff, the New Service Customer (i) requests that the Transmission Provider perform a System Impact Study, and (ii) agrees to submit a deposit of **\$50,000** to the Transmission Provider which will be applied to the New Service Customer's cost responsibility for the System Impact Study, as set forth in Section 203 or 204 of the PJM Tariff.

**PREVIOUS SUBMISSIONS**

4. Except as otherwise specifically set forth in an attachment to this agreement, New Service Customer represents and warrants that the information provided in Section 3 of the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement dated **April 3, 2019**, for the project designated **AE2-290** by and between the New Service Customer and the Transmission Provider is accurate and complete as of the date of execution of this System Impact Study Agreement. New Service Customer further provides the following information and represents and warrants that said information is true and correct:

1. Specify whether the generation to be interconnected to the Transmission System is to be a Capacity Resource or an Energy Resource.

---

---

2. Identification of evidence of initial application for the necessary air permits (attach documentation separately):

- 
- 
3. Other information not previously provided that may be relevant to the study being conducted hereunder (attach generator data for stability study analysis):
- 
- 

### **PURPOSE OF THE SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY**

5. Consistent with Section 205 of the PJM Tariff, the Transmission Provider, in consultation with the affected Transmission Owner(s), shall conduct a System Impact Study that identifies the system constraints relating to the New Service Requests being evaluated in the study and the Attachment Facilities, Local Upgrades, and Network Upgrades necessary to accommodate such New Service Requests. It is expected that the System Impact Study will be completed by **February 29, 2020**. In the event that the Transmission Provider is unable to complete the System Impact Study by that date, the Transmission Provider shall notify the New Service Customer and explain the reasons for the delay.
  
6. The System Impact Study conducted hereunder will provide more comprehensive estimates of the cost and length of time required to accommodate the New Service Customer's New Service Request than those developed through the Interconnection Feasibility Study, Upgrade Feasibility Study or Firm Transmission Feasibility Study, if applicable, performed for the New Service Customer. These estimates shall represent a good faith attempt to determine the cost of necessary facilities and upgrades to accommodate the New Service Customer's New Service Request, and the New Service Customer's cost responsibility for them, but shall not be deemed final or binding. The scope of the System Impact Study may include (a) an assessment of sub-area import deliverability, (b) an assessment of sub-area export deliverability, (c) an assessment of project related system stability issues, (d) an assessment of project related short circuit duty issues, (e) a contingency analysis consistent with NERC's and each Applicable Regional Entity's reliability criteria, (f) an assessment of regional transmission upgrades that most effectively meet identified needs, and (g) an analysis to determine cost allocation responsibility for required facilities and upgrades. Final estimates will be developed only upon execution of a Facilities Study Agreement in accordance with Part VI of the PJM Tariff. The System Impact Study necessarily will employ various assumptions regarding the New Service Request, other pending requests, and PJM's Regional Transmission Expansion Plan at the time of the study. **IN NO EVENT SHALL THE SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY IN ANY WAY BE DEEMED TO OBLIGATE THE TRANSMISSION PROVIDER OR THE TRANSMISSION OWNERS THAT MAY INTERCONNECT WITH THE NEW SERVICE CUSTOMER TO CONSTRUCT ANY FACILITIES OR UPGRADES.**

### **CONFIDENTIALITY**

7. The New Service Customer agrees to provide all information requested by the Transmission Provider necessary to complete the System Impact Study. Subject to paragraph 8 of this System Impact Study Agreement and to the extent required by Section 222 of the PJM Tariff, information provided pursuant to this Section 7 shall be and remain confidential.
8. Until completion of the System Impact Study, the Transmission Provider shall keep confidential all information provided to it by the New Service Customer. Pursuant to Section 205.4 of the PJM Tariff, upon completion of the System Impact Study, the Transmission Provider shall provide a copy of the System Impact Study to all New Service Customers whose New Service Requests were evaluated in the System Impact Study along with all related work papers. Additionally, Transmission Provider shall post on Transmission Provider's website (i) the existence of the System Impact Study, (ii) the New Service Customers that had New Service Requests evaluated in the System Impact Study, (iii) the location and size in megawatts of each New Service Customer's generation project, if applicable, and (iv) each New Service Customer's Queue Position. Additionally, New Service Customer acknowledges and consents to such other disclosures as may be required under the PJM Tariff or the FERC's rules and regulations.
9. New Service Customer acknowledges that, consistent with Part VI of the PJM Tariff, the Transmission Owners will participate in the System Impact Study process and that the Transmission Provider may disseminate information to the Transmission Owners and rely upon them to conduct part or all of the System Impact Study.

#### **COST RESPONSIBILITY**

10. The New Service Customer shall reimburse the Transmission Provider for the actual cost of the System Impact Study in accordance with its cost responsibility as determined under Sections 110.2, 111.2, 112.2, or 203 of the PJM Tariff. The refundable portion of the deposit described in Section 3 of this Agreement, paid by the New Service Customer pursuant to Sections 110.2, 111.2, 112.2, or 204.3A of the PJM Tariff, shall be applied toward the New Service Customer's System Impact Study cost responsibility. Pursuant to Section 204.3 of the PJM Tariff, during the acceptance review of this Agreement, in the event that the Transmission Provider anticipates that the New Service Customer's study cost responsibility will substantially exceed the refundable portion of the deposit, the Transmission Provider shall provide the New Service Customer with an estimate of the additional study costs and the New Service Customer's cost responsibility. The estimated additional study costs are non-binding, and additional actual study costs may exceed the estimated additional study cost increases provided by the Transmission Provider. Regardless of whether the Transmission Provider provides the New Service Customer with notification of estimated additional study costs, the New Service Customer is responsible for and must pay all actual study costs. If the Transmission Provider provides the New Service Customer with notification of estimated additional study costs, the New Service Customer must pay such estimated additional study costs within ten business days of Transmission Provider sending the New Service Customer notification of such estimated additional study costs. If the New Service Customer fails to pay such estimated additional study costs within ten business days of

Transmission Provider sending the New Service Customer notification of such estimated additional study costs, then the New Service Request shall be deemed to be withdrawn and terminated.

#### **DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTY, LIMITATION OF LIABILITY**

11. In analyzing and preparing the System Impact Study, the Transmission Provider, the Transmission Owner(s), and any other subcontractors employed by the Transmission Provider shall have to rely on information provided by the New Service Customer and possibly by third parties and may not have control over the accuracy of such information. Accordingly, NEITHER THE TRANSMISSION PROVIDER, THE TRANSMISSION OWNER(S), NOR ANY OTHER SUBCONTRACTORS EMPLOYED BY THE TRANSMISSION PROVIDER MAKES ANY WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, WHETHER ARISING BY OPERATION OF LAW, COURSE OF PERFORMANCE OR DEALING, CUSTOM, USAGE IN THE TRADE OR PROFESSION, OR OTHERWISE, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE WITH REGARD TO THE ACCURACY, CONTENT, OR CONCLUSIONS OF THE SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY. The New Service Customer acknowledges that it has not relied on any representations or warranties not specifically set forth herein and that no such representations or warranties have formed the basis of its bargain hereunder. Neither this System Impact Study Agreement nor the System Impact Study prepared hereunder is intended, nor shall either be interpreted, to constitute agreement by the Transmission Provider or the Transmission Owner(s) to provide any transmission or interconnection service to or on behalf of the New Service Customer either at this point in time or in the future.
  
12. In no event will the Transmission Provider, Transmission Owner(s) or other subcontractors employed by the Transmission Provider be liable for indirect, special, incidental, punitive, or consequential damages of any kind including loss of profits, whether arising under this System Impact Study Agreement or otherwise, even if the Transmission Provider, Transmission Owner(s), or other subcontractors employed by the Transmission Provider have been advised of the possibility of such a loss. Nor shall the Transmission Provider, Transmission Owner(s), or other subcontractors employed by the Transmission Provider be liable for any delay in delivery or of the non-performance or delay in performance of the Transmission Provider's obligations under this System Impact Study Agreement.

Without limitation of the foregoing, the New Service Customer further agrees that Transmission Owner(s) and other subcontractors employed by the Transmission Provider to prepare or assist in the preparation of any System Impact Study shall be deemed third party beneficiaries of this provision entitled "Disclaimer of Warranty/Limitation of Liability."

#### **MISCELLANEOUS**

13. Any notice or request made to or by either party regarding this System Impact Study Agreement shall be made to the representative of the other party as indicated below.

**Transmission Provider**

**PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.**

2750 Monroe Blvd.

Audubon, PA 19403

**New Service Customer**

**BQ Energy Development, LLC.**

400 Market Industrial Park, Suite 32

Wappinger Falls, New York 12590

14. No waiver by either party of one or more defaults by the other in performance of any of the provisions of this System Impact Study Agreement shall operate or be construed as a waiver of any other or further default or defaults, whether of a like or different character.
15. This System Impact Study Agreement or any part thereof, may not be amended, modified, or waived other than by a writing signed by all parties hereto.
16. This System Impact Study Agreement shall be binding upon the parties hereto, their heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns.
17. Neither this System Impact Study Agreement nor the System Impact Study performed hereunder shall be construed as an application for service under Part II or Part III of the PJM Tariff.
18. The provisions of Part VI of the PJM Tariff are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.
19. Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the PJM Tariff.
20. This System Impact Study Agreement shall become effective on the date it is executed by all parties and shall remain in effect until the earlier of (a) the date on which the Transmission Provider tenders the completed System Impact Study and a proposed Facilities Study Agreement to New Service Customer pursuant to Section 206 of the PJM Tariff, or (b) termination and withdrawal of the New Service Request(s) to which the System Impact Study hereunder relates.
21. No Third-Party Beneficiaries : This System Impact Study Agreement is not intended to and does not create rights, remedies, or benefits of any character whatsoever in favor of any persons,



corporations, associations, or entities other than the parties, and the obligations herein assumed are solely for the use and benefit of the parties, their successors in interest and where permitted, their assigns.

22. Multiple Counterparts : This System Impact Study Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which is deemed an original but all constitute one and the same instrument.
23. No Partnership : This System Impact Study Agreement shall not be interpreted or construed to create an association, joint venture, agency relationship, or partnership between the parties or to impose any partnership obligation or partnership liability upon either party. Neither party shall have any right, power or authority to enter into any agreement or undertaking for, or act on behalf of, or to act as or be an agent or representative of, or to otherwise bind, the other party.
24. Severability : If any provision or portion of this System Impact Study Agreement shall for any reason be held or adjudged to be invalid or illegal or unenforceable by any court of competent jurisdiction or other Governmental Authority, (1) such portion or provision shall be deemed separate and independent, (2) the parties shall negotiate in good faith to restore insofar as practicable the benefits to each party that were affected by such ruling, and (3) the remainder of this System Impact Study Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
25. Governing Law, Regulatory Authority, and Rules : For Interconnection Requests, the validity, interpretation and enforcement of this System Impact Study Agreement and each of its provisions shall be governed by the laws of the state of Ohio (where the Point of Interconnection is located), without regard to its conflicts of law principles. This System Impact Study Agreement is subject to all Applicable Laws and Regulations. Each party expressly reserves the right to seek changes in, appeal, or otherwise contest any laws, orders, or regulations of a Governmental Authority.
26. Reservation of Rights : The Transmission Provider shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this System Impact Study Agreement with respect to any rates, terms and conditions, charges, classifications of service, rule or regulation under section 205 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, and the Interconnection Customer shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this System Impact Study Agreement under any applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations; provided that each party shall have the right to protest any such filing by the other party and to participate fully in any proceeding before FERC in which such modifications may be considered. Nothing in this System Impact Study Agreement shall limit the rights of the parties or of FERC under sections 205 or 206 of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations, except to the extent that the parties otherwise agree as provided herein.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Transmission Provider and the New Service Customer have caused this System Impact Study Agreement to be executed by their respective authorized officials.

**Transmission Provider: PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.**

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name Title Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Printed Name

**New Service Customer: BQ Energy Development, LLC.**

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name Title Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Printed Name



**Generation Interconnection  
Feasibility Study Report  
for  
Queue Project AE2-290  
NOTTINGHAM 138 KV  
60 MW Capacity / 100 MW Energy**

October, 2019

# Table of Contents

s1	Preface.....	3
2	General.....	5
2.1	Primary Point of Interconnection .....	6
2.2	Cost Summary.....	6
3	Transmission Owner Scope of Work.....	7
4	Attachment Facilities .....	7
5	Direct Connection Cost Estimate.....	7
6	Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate.....	7
7	Incremental Capacity Transfer Rights (ICTRs) .....	8
8	Schedule.....	8
9	Interconnection Customer Requirements.....	8
10	Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements .....	9
10.1	PJM Requirements.....	9
10.2	AEP Requirements.....	9
11	Network Impacts – Option 1 .....	10
<b>12</b>	<b>Generation Deliverability.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>Multiple Facility Contingency .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>15</b>	<b>Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability .....</b>	<b>12</b>
16	System Reinforcements.....	13
17	Flow Gate Details .....	14
<b>17.1</b>	<b>Index 1.....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>17.2</b>	<b>Index 2.....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>17.3</b>	<b>Index 3.....</b>	<b>17</b>
18	Affected Systems .....	19
18.1	LG&E.....	19
18.2	MISO .....	19
18.3	TVA.....	19
18.4	Duke Energy Progress.....	19
18.5	NYISO .....	19
19	Contingency Descriptions.....	20

20	Short Circuit.....	22
21	Figure 1: AE2-290 Primary Point of Interconnection (Nottingham 138 kV Substation).....	23
22	Figure 1: AE2-290 Primary Point of Interconnection (Nottingham 138 kV Substation).....	24
23	Secondary Point of Interconnection.....	25
24	Network Impacts – Option 2 .....	26
25	Generation Deliverability .....	28
<b>26</b>	<b>Multiple Facility Contingency .....</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>27</b>	<b>Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads .....</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>28</b>	<b>Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability .....</b>	<b>28</b>
29	Flow Gate Details .....	30
<b>29.1</b>	<b>Index 1.....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>29.2</b>	<b>Index 2.....</b>	<b>32</b>
30	Affected Systems .....	34
30.1	LG&E.....	34
30.2	MISO .....	34
30.3	TVA.....	34
30.4	Duke Energy Progress.....	34
30.5	NYISO .....	34
31	Contingency Descriptions.....	35
32	Short Circuit.....	37

## 1 Preface

The intent of the feasibility study is to determine a plan, with ballpark cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. The Interconnection Customer may request the interconnection of generation as a capacity resource or as an energy-only resource. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: (1) Direct Connections, which are new facilities and/or facilities upgrades needed to connect the generator to the PJM network, and (2) Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system.

In some instances a generator interconnection may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. Cost allocation rules for network upgrades can

be found in PJM Manual 14A, Attachment B. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the feasibility study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the impact study is performed.

The Interconnection Customer seeking to interconnect a wind or solar generation facility shall maintain meteorological data facilities as well as provide that meteorological data which is required per Schedule H to the Interconnection Service Agreement and Section 8 of Manual 14D.

An Interconnection Customer with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

PJM utilizes manufacturer models to ensure the performance of turbines is properly captured during the simulations performed for stability verification and, where applicable, for compliance with low voltage ride through requirements. Turbine manufacturers provide such models to their customers. The list of manufacturer models PJM has already validated is contained in Attachment B of Manual 14G. Manufacturer models may be updated from time to time, for various reasons such as to reflect changes to the control systems or to more accurately represent the capabilities turbines and controls which are currently available in the field. Additionally, as new turbine models are developed, turbine manufacturers provide such new models which must be used in the conduct of these studies. PJM needs adequate time to evaluate the new models in order to reduce delays to the System Impact Study process timeline for the Interconnection Customer as well as other Interconnection Customers in the study group. Therefore, PJM will require that any Interconnection Customer with a new manufacturer model must supply that model to PJM, along with a \$10,000 fully refundable deposit, no later than three (3) months prior to the starting date of the System Impact Study (See Section 4.3 for starting dates) for the Interconnection Request which shall specify the use of the new model. The Interconnection Customer will be required to submit a completed dynamic model study request form (Attachment B-1 of Manual 14G) in order to document the request for the study.

The Feasibility Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

## 2 General

BQ Energy Development, LLC has proposed to install PJM project # AE2-290, a Solar generating facility located in Harrison County, Ohio (See Figure 2). The installed facilities will have a total capability of 100 MW with 60 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as Capacity. The Primary Point of Interconnection will be to AEP's Nottingham 138 kV substation (See Figure 1). The Secondary Point of Interconnection will be to the Nottingham – Reedsburg 138 kV section of the Nottingham – Longview 138 kV circuit in the ATSI area.

The proposed in-service date for this project is June 01, 2021. This study does not imply AEP's commitment to this in-service date.

The objective of this Feasibility Study is to determine budgetary cost estimates and approximate construction timelines for identified transmission facilities required to connect the proposed generating facilities to the AEP transmission system. These reinforcements include the Attachment Facilities, Local Upgrades, and Network Upgrades required for maintaining the reliability of the AEP transmission system.

The Feasibility Study includes Short Circuit and Peak Load steady state power flow analyses. The conduct of power flow studies at other load levels, stability analysis, and coordination with non-PJM Transmission Planners, as required under the PJM planning process, is not performed during the Generation Interconnection Feasibility Study phase of the PJM study process. Additional reinforcement requirements for this Interconnection Request may be defined during the conduct of these additional analyses which shall be performed following execution of the System Impact Study agreement.

<b>Queue Number</b>	<b>AE2-290</b>
<b>Project Name</b>	NOTTINGHAM 138 KV
<b>Interconnection Customer</b>	BQ Energy Development, LLC.
<b>State</b>	Ohio
<b>County</b>	Harrison
<b>Transmission Owner</b>	AEP
<b>MFO</b>	100
<b>MWE</b>	100
<b>MWC</b>	60
<b>Fuel</b>	Solar
<b>Base case Study Year</b>	2022

## 2.1 Primary Point of Interconnection

AE2-290 will interconnect with the AEP transmission system at the Nottingham 138 kV substation.

To accommodate the interconnection at the Nottingham 138 kV substation, the substation will have to be expanded requiring building of a new string and installation of two (2) 138 kV circuit breakers (see Figure 1). Installation of associated protection and control equipment, 138 kV line risers, SCADA, and 138 kV revenue metering will also be required. AEP reserves the right to specify the final acceptable configuration considering design practices, future expansion, and compliance requirements.

## 2.2 Cost Summary

The AE2-290 project will be responsible for the following costs:

Description	Total Cost
Attachment Facilities	\$250,000
Direct Connection Network Upgrade	\$4,000,000
Non Direct Connection Network Upgrades	\$0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>\$4,250,000</b>

In addition, the AE2-290 project may be responsible for a contribution to the following costs

Description	Total Cost
System Upgrades	\$0

Cost allocations for these upgrades will be provided in the System Impact Study Report.



### 3 Transmission Owner Scope of Work

#### 4 Attachment Facilities

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Attachment work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
138 kV Revenue Metering	\$250,000
<b>Total Attachment Facility Costs</b>	<b>\$250,000</b>

#### 5 Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
Build a new string and install two (2) additional 138 kV circuit breakers. Installation of associated protection and control equipment, 138 kV line risers and SCADA will also be required.	\$4,000,000
<b>Total Direct Connection Facility Costs</b>	<b>\$4,000,000</b>

#### 6 Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Non-Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
	\$0
<b>Total Non-Direct Connection Facility Costs</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## 7 Incremental Capacity Transfer Rights (ICTRs)

Will be determined at a later study phase

## 8 Schedule

It is anticipated that the time between receipt of executed Agreements and Commercial Operation may range from 12 to 18 months if no line work is required. If line work is required, construction time would generally be between 24 to 36 months after signing Agreement execution.

## 9 Interconnection Customer Requirements

It is understood that BQ Energy Development is responsible for all costs associated with this interconnection. The costs above are reimbursable to AEP. The cost of BQ Energy Development's generating plant and the costs for the line connecting the generating plant to the Nottingham 138 kV substation are not included in this report; these are assumed to be BQ Energy Development's responsibility.

The Generation Interconnection Agreement does not in or by itself establish a requirement for American Electric Power to provide power for consumption at the developer's facilities. A separate agreement may be reached with the local utility that provides service in the area to ensure that infrastructure is in place to meet this demand and proper metering equipment is installed. It is the responsibility of the developer to contact the local service provider to determine if a local service agreement is required.

Requirement from the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff:

1. An Interconnection Customer entering the New Services Queue on or after October 1, 2012 with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.
2. The Interconnection Customer may be required to install and/or pay for metering as necessary to properly track real time output of the facility as well as installing metering which shall be used for billing purposes. See Section 8 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as Section 4 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

## 10 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements

### 10.1 PJM Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

### 10.2 AEP Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to comply with all AEP Revenue Metering Requirements for Generation Interconnection Customers. The Revenue Metering Requirements may be found within the “Requirements for Connection of New Facilities or Changes to Existing Facilities Connected to the AEP Transmission System” document located at the following link:

<http://www.pjm.com/~media/planning/plan-standards/private-aep/aep-interconnection-requirements.ashx>

## **11 Network Impacts – Option 1**

The Queue Project AE2-290 was evaluated as a 100 MW (Capacity 60 MW) injection at the Nottingham 138 kV substation in the AEP area. Project AE2-290 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AE2-290 was studied with a commercial probability of 53%. Potential network impacts were as follows:

# Summer Peak Load Flow

## 12 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
7371089	920250	AA2-121 TAP	AEP	235707	01WYLIE R	AP	1	AEP_P1-2_#8971	single	1409.0	99.92	100.33	DC	5.69

## 13 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

## 14 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
7369818	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	1	AEP_P4_#9110_05NOTTINGHAM 138_J	breaker	182.0	151.23	158.3	DC	12.87
7370118	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	2	AEP_P4_#9113_05NOTTINGHAM 138_M	breaker	228.0	118.69	124.25	DC	12.68
7370707	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	1	AEP_P1-2_#8867	single	182.0	150.3	154.34	DC	7.35
7370708	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	1	Base Case	single	144.0	126.58	129.96	DC	4.88
7371019	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	2	AEP_P1-2_#8865	single	228.0	117.92	121.1	DC	7.25

## 15 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
7370706	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	1	AEP_P1-2_#8867	operation	182.0	150.62	157.35	DC	12.25
7370712	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	1	Base Case	operation	144.0	117.08	119.62	DC	8.13
7371018	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	2	AEP_P1-2_#8865	operation	228.0	118.17	123.47	DC	12.08

## 16 System Reinforcements

ID	Index	Facility	Upgrade Description	Cost
7371089	1	AA2-121 TAP 345.0 kV - 01WYLIE R 345.0 kV Ckt 1	<p><u>APS</u>  NV#RI_0002: No violation; Rate A = 1542 MVA, Rate B = 1878 MVA  Project Type : FAC  Cost : \$0  Time Estimate : N/A Months</p> <p><u>AEP</u>  NV#RI_AEP_AE1_REF_r0048: No Violation. AEP does not own limiting equipment.  Project Type : FAC  Cost : \$0  Time Estimate : N/A Months</p>	\$0
7370707,7369818,7370708	2	05NOTTINGHAM 138.0 kV - 05YAGER 138.0 kV Ckt 1	<p><u>AEP</u>  AEPO0009a (118) : AEP end rating is sufficient: A/B: 398/398  Project Type : FAC  Cost : \$0  Time Estimate : N/A Months</p>	\$0
7370118,7371019	3	05NOTTINGHAM 138.0 kV - 05YAGER 138.0 kV Ckt 2	<p><u>AEP</u>  AEPO0010a (119) : AEP end rating is sufficient: A/B: 398/398  Project Type : FAC  Cost : \$0  Time Estimate : N/A Months</p>	\$0
			TOTAL COST	\$0

## 17 Flow Gate Details

The following appendices contain additional information about each flowgate presented in the body of the report. For each appendix, a description of the flowgate and its contingency was included for convenience. However, the intent of the appendix section is to provide more information on which projects/generators have contributions to the flowgate in question. Although this information is not used "as is" for cost allocation purposes, it can be used to gage other generators impact. It should be noted the generator contributions presented in the appendices sections are full contributions, whereas in the body of the report, those contributions take into consideration the commercial probability of each project.

---



## 17.1 Index 1

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
7371089	920250	AA2-121 TAP	AEP	235707	01WYLIE R	AP	1	AEP_P1-2_#8971	single	1409.0	99.92	100.33	DC	5.69

Bus #	Bus	MW Impact
235344	01HANNIB	0.2
243185	05CDG3	23.78
243189	05MLG2	10.33
243190	05CDG1	8.72
243191	05CDG2	22.42
247202	05WSHG1A	1.62
247203	05WSHG1B	1.62
247204	05WSHG1S	2.3
247237	05WTRG1A	1.36
247238	05WTRG1B	1.36
247239	05WTRG1C	1.36
247240	05WTRG1S	3.18
914061	Y2-050	25.77
920251	AA2-121 O1	408.46
920431	AA2-141	0.4
924441	AB2-093	55.73
924551	AB2-104	38.76
925351	AC1-003	47.7
926251	AC1-103	97.38
932061	AC2-016	6.29
934631	AD1-090 O1	80.87
936031	AD2-005	3.32
936101	AD2-014 C O1	2.19
938583	AE1-079 CBAT	0.09
939911	AE1-227 C O1	2.16
939973	AE1-237 C2	0.09
940611	AE2-048 C O1	9.81
941391	AE2-136 C	5.52
942741	AE2-290 C O1	5.69
943143	AE2-343 BAT	0.22
CARR	CARR	1.22
CBM-S1	CBM-S1	8.49
CBM-S2	CBM-S2	2.52
CBM-W1	CBM-W1	15.72
CBM-W2	CBM-W2	64.51
CIN	CIN	7.53
CPLE	CPLE	0.74
IPL	IPL	4.89
LGEE	LGEE	2.22
MEC	MEC	13.28
MECS	MECS	7.77
RENSSELAER	RENSSELAER	0.96
WEC	WEC	1.97

## 17.2 Index 2

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CK T ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADIN G %	POST PROJECT LOADIN G %	AC D C	MW IMPACT
7369818	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	1	AEP_P4_#9110_05NOTTINGHAM 138_J	breaker	182.0	151.23	158.3	DC	12.87

Bus #	Bus	MW Impact
926251	AC1-103	132.09
936031	AD2-005	4.51
942741	AE2-290 C O1	7.72
942742	AE2-290 E O1	5.15
CARR	CARR	0.05
CBM-S1	CBM-S1	0.3
CBM-S2	CBM-S2	0.14
CBM-W1	CBM-W1	0.02
CBM-W2	CBM-W2	1.93
CIN	CIN	0.2
CPLE	CPLE	0.05
G-007	G-007	0.07
IPL	IPL	0.13
LGEE	LGEE	0.07
MEC	MEC	0.31
O-066	O-066	0.48
RENSSELAER	RENSSELAER	0.04
WEC	WEC	0.04

### 17.3 Index 3

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CK T ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADIN G %	POST PROJECT LOADIN G %	AC D C	MW IMPACT
7370118	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	2	AEP_P4_#9113_05NOTTINGHAM 138_M	breaker	228.0	118.69	124.25	DC	12.68

Bus #	Bus	MW Impact
926251	AC1-103	130.12
936031	AD2-005	4.44
942741	AE2-290 C O1	7.61
942742	AE2-290 E O1	5.07
CARR	CARR	0.05
CBM-S1	CBM-S1	0.29
CBM-S2	CBM-S2	0.13
CBM-W1	CBM-W1	0.01
CBM-W2	CBM-W2	1.89
CIN	CIN	0.2
CPLE	CPLE	0.05
G-007	G-007	0.07
IPL	IPL	0.13
LGEE	LGEE	0.07
MEC	MEC	0.3
O-066	O-066	0.48
RENSSELAER	RENSSELAER	0.04
WEC	WEC	0.04

# Affected Systems

## **18 Affected Systems**

### **18.1 LG&E**

LG&E Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

### **18.2 MISO**

MISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

### **18.3 TVA**

TVA Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

### **18.4 Duke Energy Progress**

Duke Energy Progress Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

### **18.5 NYISO**

NYISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

## 19 Contingency Descriptions

Contingency Name	Contingency Definition
AEP_P1-2_#8971	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#8971' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 242932 TO BUS 247797 CKT 1 / 242932 05CANTNC 345 247797 05STEMPLE 345 1 END
AEP_P4_#9110_05NOTTINGHAM 138_J	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P4_#9110_05NOTTINGHAM 138_J' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247131 TO BUS 247460 CKT 5 / 247131 05HOLLOW 138 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 5 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247460 TO BUS 247700 CKT 2 / 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 247700 05YAGER 138 2 END
AEP_P4_#9113_05NOTTINGHAM 138_M	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P4_#9113_05NOTTINGHAM 138_M' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247131 TO BUS 247460 CKT 2 / 247131 05HOLLOW 138 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 2 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247460 TO BUS 247700 CKT 1 / 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 247700 05YAGER 138 1 END
Base Case	
AEP_P1-2_#8867	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#8867' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247460 TO BUS 247700 CKT 2 / 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 247700 05YAGER 138 2 END
AEP_P1-2_#8865	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#8865' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247460 TO BUS 247700 CKT 1 / 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 247700 05YAGER 138 1 END

# Short Circuit


## 20 Short Circuit

The following Breakers are over-duty

None



## 21 Figure 1: AE2-290 Primary Point of Interconnection (Nottingham 138 kV Substation) Single-Line Diagram



22 Figure 1: AE2-290 Primary Point of Interconnection (Nottingham 138 kV Substation)



### **23 Secondary Point of Interconnection**

AE2-290 will interconnect with the Nottingham – Reedsburg 138kV section of the Nottingham (AEP) – Longview (FE) 138 kV circuit in the ATSI area.

Note: The Secondary Point of Interconnection is in the ATSI-owned portion of the line. If this project proceeds to the Impact Study Phase selecting the secondary POI, then we will need to have a kick off meeting to transition this project to the First Energy (ATSI) Team.

## 24 Network Impacts – Option 2

The Queue Project AE2-290 was evaluated as a 100.0 MW (Capacity 60.0 MW) injection at Nottingham – Reedsburg 138kV section of the Nottingham (AEP) – Longview (FE) 138 kV circuit in the ATSI area. Project AE2-290 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AE2-290 was studied with a commercial probability of 0.53. Potential network impacts were as follows:

# Summer Peak Load Flow

## 25 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

## 26 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

## 27 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
7369818	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	1	AEP_P4_#9110_05NOTTINGHAM 138_J	breaker	182.0	151.84	158.86	DC	12.77
7370118	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	2	AEP_P4_#9113_05NOTTINGHAM 138_M	breaker	228.0	119.15	124.67	DC	12.58
7370707	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	1	AEP_P1-2_#8867	single	182.0	150.92	154.92	DC	7.29
7370708	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	1	Base Case	single	144.0	127.13	130.49	DC	4.84
7371019	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	2	AEP_P1-2_#8865	single	228.0	118.39	121.55	DC	7.19

## 28 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
7370706	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	1	AEP_P1-2_#8867	operation	182.0	151.25	157.93	DC	12.15
7370712	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	1	Base Case	operation	144.0	117.65	120.17	DC	8.06

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CKT ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADING %	POST PROJECT LOADING %	AC DC	MW IMPACT
7371018	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	2	AEP_P1-2_#8865	operation	228.0	118.66	123.91	DC	11.99

## 29 Flow Gate Details

The following appendices contain additional information about each flowgate presented in the body of the report. For each appendix, a description of the flowgate and its contingency was included for convenience. However, the intent of the appendix section is to provide more information on which projects/generators have contributions to the flowgate in question. Although this information is not used "as is" for cost allocation purposes, it can be used to gage other generators impact. It should be noted the generator contributions presented in the appendices sections are full contributions, whereas in the body of the report, those contributions take into consideration the commercial probability of each project.

---



## 29.1 Index 1

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CK T ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADIN G %	POST PROJECT LOADIN G %	AC D C	MW IMPACT
7369818	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	1	AEP_P4_#9110_05NOTTINGHAM 138_J	breaker	182.0	151.84	158.86	DC	12.77

Bus #	Bus	MW Impact
926251	AC1-103	131.91
936031	AD2-005	4.5
942741	AE2-290 C O2	7.66
942742	AE2-290 E O2	5.11
CARR	CARR	0.06
CBM-S1	CBM-S1	0.32
CBM-S2	CBM-S2	0.14
CBM-W1	CBM-W1	0.05
CBM-W2	CBM-W2	2.08
CIN	CIN	0.22
CPLE	CPLE	0.05
G-007	G-007	0.08
IPL	IPL	0.14
LGEE	LGEE	0.08
MEC	MEC	0.34
O-066	O-066	0.51
RENSSELAER	RENSSELAER	0.04
WEC	WEC	0.04

## 29.2 Index 2

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	FROM BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	TO BUS AREA	CK T ID	CONT NAME	Type	Rating MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADIN G %	POST PROJECT LOADIN G %	AC D C	MW IMPACT
7370118	247460	05NOTTINGHAM	AEP	247700	05YAGER	AEP	2	AEP_P4_#9113_05NOTTINGHAM 138_M	breaker	228.0	119.15	124.67	DC	12.58

Bus #	Bus	MW Impact
926251	AC1-103	129.94
936031	AD2-005	4.43
942741	AE2-290 C O2	7.55
942742	AE2-290 E O2	5.03
CARR	CARR	0.06
CBM-S1	CBM-S1	0.32
CBM-S2	CBM-S2	0.14
CBM-W1	CBM-W1	0.05
CBM-W2	CBM-W2	2.05
CIN	CIN	0.22
CPLE	CPLE	0.05
G-007	G-007	0.08
IPL	IPL	0.14
LGEE	LGEE	0.08
MEC	MEC	0.33
O-066	O-066	0.5
RENSSELAER	RENSSELAER	0.04
WEC	WEC	0.04

# Affected Systems

## **30 Affected Systems**

### **30.1 LG&E**

LG&E Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

### **30.2 MISO**

MISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

### **30.3 TVA**

TVA Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

### **30.4 Duke Energy Progress**

Duke Energy Progress Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

### **30.5 NYISO**

NYISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

## 31 Contingency Descriptions

Contingency Name	Contingency Definition
Base Case	
AEP_P4_#9110_05NOTTINGHAM 138_J	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P4_#9110_05NOTTINGHAM 138_J' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247131 TO BUS 247460 CKT 5 / 247131 05HOLLOW 138 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 5 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247460 TO BUS 247700 CKT 2 / 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 247700 05YAGER 138 2 END
AEP_P1-2_#8867	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#8867' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247460 TO BUS 247700 CKT 2 / 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 247700 05YAGER 138 2 END
AEP_P4_#9113_05NOTTINGHAM 138_M	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P4_#9113_05NOTTINGHAM 138_M' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247131 TO BUS 247460 CKT 2 / 247131 05HOLLOW 138 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 2 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247460 TO BUS 247700 CKT 1 / 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 247700 05YAGER 138 1 END
AEP_P1-2_#8865	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#8865' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 247460 TO BUS 247700 CKT 1 / 247460 05NOTTINGHAM 138 247700 05YAGER 138 1 END

# Short Circuit

## 32 Short Circuit

The following Breakers are overduty

None.